

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.unto.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,647	12/01/2000	Shaikh Ghaleb Mohammad Yassin Alhamad	VI-CIP 5	5958	
75	90 07/16/2003	•			
Charles E. Cates		EXAMINER			
Cates & Hollow P.O. BOX 1532		•	WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1532			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1772	19_	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A7-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.7			
Offic Action Summary		09/728,647		ALHAMAD, SHAIKH GHALEB MOHAMMAD YASSIN			
	One Action Gammary	Examin r	Art Unit	, <del>-</del>			
		William P. Watkins III	1772				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation reply	pears on the cover sheet with ti	ne c rrespondence addi	ess			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND	to e timely filed  I days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this comoneon (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	<u> April 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			merits is			
•	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	1					
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)[]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With the transfer of the trans					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
· ·	ion Papers	·					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		,			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	****					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	•				
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	·				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (t).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	·	<b>.</b>			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\operatorname{pro}$						
Attachmen	at(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-				
S Patent and T	rademark Office						

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The examiner has reviewed the small portion of the specification that is directed to the instant claimed embodiment of expanded material with tar and aggregate and cannot locate explicit support for the base material being non-rigid.

2. Claims 1-5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 12 now recite that there is a non-rigid base material formed from a sheet material with slits, but do not recite that the sheet material is expanded to form cells in the

Art Unit: 1772

non-rigid base material. Thus there is no antecedent basis for the reference to "the cells" at the end of the claim.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stock (U.S. 3,825,465) in view of Kinney (U.S. 312,864).

Stock teaches an expanded sheet material which may be made of plastics or paper or cardboard and which may be filled with tar products and an aggregate such as plaster (col. 2, lines 10-40). Kinney teaches the use of an expanded sheet material where the slits are transverse to the longitudinal direction of the sheet and intersect the edge of the sheet in order to be expanded into a three dimensional structure which has great strength and stiffness (page 2, lines 25-35, Figure 1). A compact intermediate form of the product is taught (Figures 3

Art Unit: 1772

The instant invention claims an expanded sheet material with tar and aggregate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a combination of tar and plaster from the possible coating and filler materials taught by Stock as options. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the transverse slits of Kinney for the longitudinal slits of Stock in order to produce a strong and still structure because of the teachings of Kinney. Variation of the taught sheet materials with these coating and fillers would also have been obvious. Transportation of construction materials to a job site in a compact form for field assembly in well known in the construction art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transport the unexpanded mesh of Stock in view of Kinney in a common intermediate compact sheet material storage form such as a roll for field assembly and joining with aggregate and tar in order to have ease of transportation to the construction job site, in view of the above noted well known practice.

Page 4

5. Applicant's arguments filed 28 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant argues that the instant claim language of a nonrigid base material defines over the stiff base material of
Stock. The examiner notes that the material of Stock may be a
paper material that is not stiff until it is impregnated with
tar (col. 2, lines 30-40). Thus the paper expanded sheet
material of Stock is non-rigid at least until impregnation with
resin or tar. This would appear to be similar to applicant's
claimed process where the base material is expanded and formed
into a non-rigid base material then impregnated with tar and
aggregate which the examiner presumes stiffens the cells so that
they do not collapse. The examiner does not understand how
applicant's new claim language distinguishes over the cited art.
Applicant's other arguments have been previously addressed.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

Art Unit: 1772

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Art Unit: 1772

WW/ww July 14, 2003

William Mathury

Page 7

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER